SPACE MAINTAINERS

DR.ShanmugavadivelProfessorDepartment of pedodontics and preventive dentistry

Space Retainer or Maintainer

• According to Boucher it is a fixed or removable appliance designed to preserve the space created by the premature loss of a primary tooth or a group of teeth.

Requirements of Space maintainers

- Should maintain desired proximal dimensions of space created by loss of teeth
- Should be functional
- Should not interfere with eruption of opposing tooth
- Should not interfere with eruption of erupting teeth
- Should not interfere with speech or mastication
- Should be simple and strong
- Should not impose excessive stress on adjacent tooth
- Easily cleansable
- Should not restrict normal growth and function

Ray E. Stewart, Thomas K. Barber, Kenneth C. Troutman and Stephen H. Y Wei. Pediatric Dentistry: Scientific foundations and clinical practice. 1st ed. London: CV Mosby company, 1982.

Classification

Acc. to Raymond C Thurow

- Removable
- Complete arch
 - Lingual arch
 - Extra oral anchorage
- Individual tooth space maintainer

Acc. To *Hitchcock*

- Removable or Fixed or Semifixed
- With bands or without bands
- Functional or Nonfunctional
- Active or Passive
- Combinations of above



Sidney B. Finn. Textbook of Clinical Pedodontics. 4th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders 1973.

Removable space maintainer

Advantages

- Easy to clean
- Maintains and restores vertical dimension
- Can be used in combination with other preventive measures
- Worn part time maintaining circulation to soft tissues
- Stimulates eruption of permanent teeth
- Band construction is not necessary
- Room can be made for erupting permanent teeth with out changing the appliance

Disadvantages

- May be lost or broken
- May not wear the appliance
- Lateral jaw growth may be affected
- May irritate the underlying tissues

Fixed space maintainer

Advantages

- Easy manipulation
- Bands used without tooth preparation or with minimum preparation if SSC are used
- Do not interfere with passive eruption of tooth
- Succedaneous tooth are well guided into occlusion
- Used for uncooperative patients
- Masticatory function is restored if pontics are used

Disadvantages

- Requires more armamentarium
- Decalcification of tooth under bands
- Harmful to abutment tooth due to development of torque forces resulting in appliance breakage
- Supra eruption of opposing tooth
- If pontics are used:
 - interferes with eruption of opposing teeth
 - prevents eruption of replacing tooth if patient fails to report

Dental Arch Space Changes Following Premature Loss Of Primary First Molars: A Systematic Review

William Tunison, BSc. • Carlos Flores-Mir, DDS, DSc. • Hossam ElBadrawy, DDS, MSc. • Usama Nassar, DDS, MSc. • Tarek El-Bialy, DDS, MSc OSci, PhD.

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to consider the available evidence regarding premature loss of primary molars and the implications for treatment planning.

Methods: Electronic database searches were conducted—including published information available until July 2007—for available evidence. A methodological quality assessment was also applied.

Results: Although a significant number of published articles had dealt with premature primary molar loss, only 3 studies had the minimal methodological quality to be considered for this systematic review.

Conclusion: A reported immediate space loss of 1.5 mm per arch side in the mandible and 1 mm in the maxilla —when normal growth changes were considered—was found. The magnitude, however, is not likely to be of clinical significance in most cases. Nevertheless, in cases with incisor and/or lip protrusion or a severe predisposition to arch length deficiency prior to any tooth loss, this amount of loss could have treatment implications.

(Pediatr Dent 2008;30:297-302)

I. Band & Loop

• Unilateral, fixed, non-functional & passive space maintainer

Indications: (Currier & Austerman, 1992)

- Premature loss of 1st primary molar in primary dentition or loss of 1st primary in transitional dentition
- Premature loss of primary 2nd molar as 1st permanent molar is erupting clinically

Contraindications:

- Extreme space loss
- High caries activity
- Replacement of primary anterior teeth
- Replacement of primary 2nd molars in primary dentition without 1st permanent molar
- Replacement of primary 2nd molars in transitional dentition with permanent molars banded (rare exception)
- In cases of sequential extraction of primary teeth

Richardson J. Mathewson and Robert E. Primosch. Fundamentals Of Pediatric Dentistry. 3rd Ed.. London: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc.

















Criteria for loop

- Parallel to edentulous ridge 1mm off the gingival tissues
- Should rest against the adjacent tooth at contact point
- Faciolingual dimension should be approx. 8mm to allow permanent tooth to erupt freely into loop
- Should not restrict any physiological tooth movements 1 in intercanine width



Jimmy R. Pinkham, Paul S. Casamassimo, Dennis J. McTigue, Henry and Arthur J. Nowak. Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy Through Adolescence. 4th ed. New Delhi: Saunders. 2005.



Modifications

- Band & Bar
- Bonded Band & loop
 - Difficult to maintain due to shearing force from occlusion
 - In case of breakage space loss / aspiration
 - Difficult to adjust
- Crown & loop
 - Difficult to adjust intraorally
 - Should be redone if soldering fails
 - Overcome by placing band over crown
- Extended Band & loop







Nayak, Loius, Sajeev & Peter. Band & Loop space maintainer - Made easy. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2004; 22 (3):134-136.



Fig. 1: Band Adaptation.



Fig. 3: Marking on the Band and Loop.



Fig. 5: Try-in to check for fit.



Fig. 7: Invested and soldered Band and loop.



Fig. 2: Prefabricated Loops.



Fig. 4: Spot welding of Loop on the Band.



Fig. 6: Second spot welding done for stability.



Fig. 8: Polished and cemented.



Advantages

• Easy, economical to make, little chair time & adjusts to developing dentition

Disadvantages

- Do not restore chewing function
- Do not prevent continued eruption of opposing teeth

A new design for space maintainers replacing prematurely lost first primary molars Robert Rapp, DDS, MS, FRCD(C) Isik Demiroz, DDS, MS

Modification of space maintainers which facilitates normal occlusal development in the canine region.

• a. A band and loop space maintainer replacing a prematurely lost first primary molar. The anterior portion of the loop slopes in a buccal (B) and distal direction to allow physiologic movement of the primary canine. Sufficient space in the arch is maintained to accommodate the unerupted first premolar.

• b. A cast T-bar space maintainer, replacing a prematurely lost first primary molar. The bar of the T-bar space maintainer slopes in a distobuccal direction to allow canine movement.

• c. A lingual arch, bilateral space maintainer replacing prematurely lost first and second primary molars. Finger springs Positioned distal to the primary canines are sloped in a distobuccal direction to facilitate canine repositioning.

• d. A removable, bilateral space maintainer replacing prematurely lost first and second primary molars. Note the distobuccal slope created in the acrylic base material.





II. Fixed Lingual Arch

- Bilateral, fixed or semi-fixed, non-functional passive arch appliance
- Holds molar position distally & incisor segment anteriorly
- Advantages:
 - Prevents incisors from collapse
 - Prevents space loss from deep bite or from lingual pressures from oral habits
 - Preserves primary canine space maintaining arch length

Ray E. Stewart, Thomas K. Barber, Kenneth C. Troutman and Stephen H. Y Wei. Pediatric Dentistry: Scientific foundations and clinical practice. 1st ed. London: CV Mosby company, 1982.

Indications

- Maintenance of arch perimeter (not just quadrant perimeter) mainly in mandibular arch
- Maintenance or prevention of mandibular changes in arch length, over jet or over bite from incisor repositioning in transitional dentition
- Retention or stabilization of mandibular anterior teeth after correction

Contra indications

- Anything that requires frequent adjustments
- Rampant caries, high plaque scores, poor patient cooperation
- Anterior or posterior cross bite
- Extreme mandibular crowding

Richardson J. Mathewson and Robert E. Primosch. Fundamentals Of Pediatric Dentistry. 3rd Ed.. London: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc.





Types

- Fixed soldering wire to band
- Semi-fixed ends of arch wire fitted into tubes attached to lingual surfaces

Modifications

- U loops space regaining (*Hitchcock*, 1974)
- Canine spurs to prevent midline shift
- Wire can be welded from buccal side with canine stoppers from same wire *(Chawla et al, 1984)*
- Wire bent to create space for lingually erupting incisors
- Fixed-Removable lingual arch Mershon arch



SAME WIRE FOR CANINE STOPPERS



WITH CANINE STOPPERS



HOTZ modification



MODIFIED FOR ERUPTING INCISORS





CHAWLA modification









FIXED_REMOVAL LINGUAL ARCH - MERSHON ARCH

Advantages

- Excellent source of anchorage resistance against several teeth
- Allows free of movement of teeth while maintaining space in desired arch
- Little or no inconvenience to patient as compared with removable acrylic space maintainer
- Serves as space maintainer for more than 1 succedaneous teeth

Disadvantages

- Decalcification of banded tooth
- Arch wire embedded into soft tissues (patients with poor oral hygiene)
- Wire may be distorted by masticatory forces

III. Intra-alveolar (distal shoe) appliance

Objective

• To retain & guide the 1st permanent molar into normal eruptive occlusion

Indication

• Maintain space of primary 2nd molar that has been lost before the eruption of 1st permanent molar

Contra indication

- If several teeth are missing (abutment to support the cemented appliance may be missing)
- Poor oral hygiene
- Certain medical conditions like SABE, Blood dyscrasias, etc.
- Congenitally missing 1st permanent molar (rare)

In cases of contra indication

- Allow the tooth to erupt & then regain space
- Pressure appliance (*Caroll & Jones, 1982*)



Willet distal guiding shoe (1929)

- Made of Cast gold increased cost & difficulties in tooth preparation
- Bar type of extension into the soft tissues & bony alveolus to guide the erupting PFM
- Disadv:
 - Injure the permanent unerupted tooth
 - Erupting 1st permanent molar is guided by the distal primary crown (not root) surface use of tissue inserted distal shoe is ill-advised
- Overcome by:
 - Distal shoe that do not enter the tissue but curves on top of the ridge
 - Molar distal ridge → corresponds to mesial margin of unerupted permanent molar
 - Free end of acrylic saddle of removable space maintainer represents distal crown surface of missing primary molar

Ray E. Stewart, Thomas K. Barber, Kenneth C. Troutman and Stephen H. Y Wei. Pediatric Dentistry: Scientific foundations and clinical practice. 1st ed. London: CV Mosby company, 1982.

Modified Willet's appliance for bilateral loss of multiple deciduous molars: A case report

Dhinds a A.1, Pandit I. K.2

In place of giving two separate space maintainers for each side some modifications were planned in Willet's appliance. Bands were made on lower first deciduous molar and canine on right side and on lower left deciduous canine. The distal extension was calculated radiographically, a cut was made in the cast and wire components were adapted using 21 gauge wire. Anteriorly the wire component was made like lingual holding arch and posteriorly short term modified Willet's appliance was made but bilaterally. The wire components were soldered on bands on both the sides.





Figure 1: Showing pre-operative photograph of the patient



Figure 2: Showing design of bilateral distal shoe

Figure 3: Showing bilateral distal shoe immediately after insertion



Figure 4: Showing bilateral distal shoe seven months after insertion



Figures 5 and 6: Showing bilateral distal shoe immediately after insertion

Figures 7 and 8: Showing bilateral distal shoe seven months after insertion

Roache (1968)



- Advocated crown or band appliance with distal intragingival extension
- V-shaped extension broader surface \rightarrow prevents rotations
- Greater chances of success even if unerupted tooth lies buccal or lingual in arch
- Disadvantages:
 - Cantilever design \rightarrow anchored on occlusally convergent crown of 1st primary molar
 - Can replace only one tooth
 - No occlusal function is restored

Ralph E. McDonald, David R. Avery & Jeffrey A. Dean. Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent. 8th ed. St. Louis: Missouri, 2006.

Techniques of construction




Indirect method (2 appointments)



Alternative technique (Levit, 1971)

Impression taken but cast is not poured

Primary 2nd molar is extracted & mesial root is cut off

Tooth is placed in impression & then stone is poured

Once stone has set, the tooth with the distal root is removed

Appliance is fabricated with loop directly bent into the artificial distal socket

Advantages: eliminates intraoral adjustments & X-ray exposure









Position & width of distal extension

- Path of eruption of maxillary & mandibular molars
- Mandibular 1st permanent molar
 - mesial & lingual direction \rightarrow erupts against the distal surface of primary 2nd molar
 - Contact area of the distal extension should be slightly lingually positioned prevents the slipping of tooth under the appliance
- Maxillary 1st permanent molar
 - distal & buccal → till it reaches muscular resistance → then erupts mesially
 - Contact area of distal extension should be slightly buccally placed
- Width of the extension should closely approx. the contact area of the unerupted PFM



Ralph E. McDonald, David R. Avery & Jeffrey A. Dean. Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent. 8th ed. St. Louis: Missouri, 2006.

Length of distal extension (horizontal bar)



• If not removed before

B] Measured from the radiograph

- distance between distal surface of primary 1st molar & unerupted PFM (if already missing)
- May force the tooth to erupt too far distally (if fabricated at 3 to 4 years of age) → disto-occlusion of molars

C] Measure the MD width of antimere

• Comparing with radiograph

Depth of extension (vertical bar)

- 1mm below the MMR of unerupted 1st permanent molar (*Hicks*)
- V shaped edge should be sharp if inserted into extraction site after healing
- Can be polished & smooth if inserted on day of extraction
- Too long \rightarrow injures the developing 2nd premolar
- Too short \rightarrow unerupted 1st permanent molar might slip under the extension



- Removable Distal shoe (Beaver et al, 1967)
 - appliance for molar guidance
 - Impression is taken & cast is poured
 - Buccal tubes soldered on buccal & lingual surfaces of crown
 - Horizontal position (buccally) & vertical position (lingually)tooth cut from cast
 - Arch wire inserted into buccal tubes
 - Distal alveolar shoe is then soldered to wire





- Chair side fabrication (*Warren Brill*, 2002)
 - 1st primary molar is prepared for SSC
 - Primary 2nd molar is extracted & hemostasis is achieved
 - Female component is welded to SSC
 - Legs of male component is shortened (cut ends smoothened) & inserted into tube of female component
 - Crown is seated & male component extended to most distal aspect of extraction socket







Warren Brill. The distal shoe space maintainer: chairside fabrication and clinical performance. Pediatr Dent 2002; 24: 561-5.



- Combination of distal shoe & lingual arch (*Psaltis & Fischer*, 1982)
 - Absence of primary 1st molar on one side & 2nd molar on other side
- Loops in horizontal arms of distal shoe (*Chawla*, 1985)
 - For adjustment during placement
- Only band & loop with pressure on distal contact of 2nd primary molar
- Crown & distal shoe



- Garcia-Godoy appliance (*Sheryl*, 1989)
 - Consists of SS wire extending from buccal & lingual surface of primary 1st molar to primary 2nd molar on the contralateral side
 - 1 U-loop on each side of edentulous area ; 1 small loop on area contacting mesial surface of 1st permanent molar
 - To correct mesial tipping & minor space regaining

Follow up

periodic recall at 3-months interval

With partial eruption of 1st permanent molar

• Reverse crown / band & loop

Complete eruption of 1st permanent molar

• Band & loop (Mandibular arch) Complete eruption of lower anteriors

 Lingual arch holding appliance (Mandibular arch) Complete eruption of 1st permanent molar

 Nance palatal appliance (Maxillary arch)

Nance holding arch appliance

• Bilateral, fixed, passive & non-functional space maintainer



- Indications
 - Bilateral loss of multiple primary teeth after eruption of 1st permanent molar
 - Also serves as habit breaking appliance (tongue thrusting) using spurs
- Similar to lingual arch holding appliance except the anterior portion of arch wire do not contact the lingual surfaces of maxillary incisors
- At rugae area, a small U-shaped bend is given which approximates 1cm distal to the lingual surfaces of incisors
- Bend enhances the retention of acrylic button (0.5" in diameter)
- Disadvantages:
 - Soft tissue irritation

The Nance Holding Arch with Bite Rim

JOHN J. BACCELLI, DDS, MSD

Maxillary bite plates, used in patients with severe deep bites to allow placement of mandibular brackets earlier in treatment, are removable, and their effectiveness depends on patient cooperation. This led to investigate the feasibility of a fixed bite plate. The maxillary molars are banded, and .036" sheaths are welded to the occlusolingual aspects of the bands. An .036" wire is adapted to the sheaths and carried over the lingual surfaces of the anterior teeth. A clear plastic bite rim is then extended over the anterior incisal edges



Transpalatal appliance

- Bilateral, fixed, passive & non-functional space maintainer
- Indicated in unilateral loss of primary 2nd molar after eruption of 1st permanent molar
- Effective in preventing molars from rotating around palatal roots
- Prevents anchorage loss
- Transpalatal arch runs across the palatal vault avoiding contact with soft tissue



Ray E. Stewart, Thomas K. Barber, Kenneth C. Troutman and Stephen H. Y Wei. Pediatric Dentistry: Scientific foundations and clinical practice. 1st ed. London: CV Mosby company, 1982.

A Modified Transpalatal Arch

HORACIO GARCIA-ROJAS GUERRA, DDS, MSD

Studies have found that 90-95% of all Class II malocclusions have mesial rotations of the upper first permanent molars. Correcting these rotations can gain as much as 1-2mm of space per side. The modified transpalatal arch described here can correct molar rotations while providing anchorage and torque control.

Fabrication and Activation



After taking an alginate impression, fit the molar bands in the patient's mouth, and place them on the plaster cast (A).



Incorporate helices 7-8mm from each side of the central omega loop of an .032" stainless steel transpalatal bar (B).



Adjust the transpalatal arch on the cast so it is 3mm away from the roof of the palate (C).



Solder the arch to the bands, and finish the appliance. Remove the appliance from the cast. Activate the transpalatal arch by placing one end of a three-prong plier at the distal end of the omega loop and adding as much of a rotation angle as needed (D).



With a birdbeak plier, activate the omega loop to compensate for this constriction of the appliance (E).



Replace the appliance on the cast to check the amount of activation and expansion (F). If more expansion is needed, activate the omega loop further. Attach the bands to the molars with glass-ionomer cement.

Bilateral Space Maintainers: A 7-year Retrospective Study from Private Practice Todd R. Moore BSc, MSc, DDS1 David B. Kennedy BDS, MSD,2

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to report survival times and problems encountered with bilateral space maintainers placed over a 7 year period.

Methods: Charts were reviewed for all patients who had bilateral space maintainers placed between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 2003. Appliance lifetime and problems encountered were recorded and assessed on July 30, 2005, if still in use. *Failures were recorded as: (1) cement loss; (2) solder breakage; (3) split band; (4) eruption interference; (5) bent wire; (6) loss; or (7) not specified. Also recorded were: (1) failed appliances; (2) transferred patients; and (3) those lost to follow-up.*

Results: A total of 482 space maintainers were evaluated, with 114 failures (24%) and 349 successes (72%). Of the 114 known failures: 68 (60%) were from cement loss; 12 (10%) were from solder breakage; 11 (10%) were from split bands; and 13 (11%) were from reasons not specified. No statistical differences were noted between types of failures or between genders. Mean pooled survival times were 20 months for lingual arches and 23 months for Nance appliances, with no statistical differences between arches, except in successful appliances where Nance was superior (P=.011). Of the 114 failed appliances: 44 (39%) were not recemented or remade, which was considered clinically successful; 51 (45%) were recemented; and 19 (17%) were remade. Eight appliances were lost to follow-up or transferred.

Conclusion: The majority of bilateral space maintainers (72%) lasted their anticipated lifetimes.

(Pediatr Dent 2006;28:499-505)

MANAGEMENT OF SPACE PROBLEMS IN THE PRIMARY AND MIXED DENTITIONS

PETER NGAN, D.M.D.; RANDY G. ALKIRE, D.D.S., M.S.; HENRY FIELDS JR., D.D.S., M.S., M.S.D.



A. Anterior view



B. Maxillary occlusal view



C. Mandibular occlusal view

Pretreatment photographs of a 4-year-old patient with premature loss of primary maxillary incisors and unrestorable teeth



A. Anterior view



B. Maxillary occlusal view



C. Mandibular occlusal view

Teeth were extracted. Maxillary Nance appliance with prosthesis and mandibular band and loop appliances with occlusal rest on the canines were used for space maintenance

Removable space maintainers

Indications

- Esthetics is of importance
- If abutment can not support a fixed appliance
 - early loss normal root resorption
 - Previous injury
- Cleft palate to be closed with denture
- Child with mental age of $2^{1/2}$ yrs
- When all primary teeth have erupted
- Incompletely erupted 1st permanent molar for banding
- Multiple loss of primary teeth

Contraindications

- Lack of patient cooperation
- Allergic to acrylic materials
- Epileptic patients
- High caries activity
- Child with mental age less than 2^{1/2} yrs

Sidney B. Finn. Textbook of Clinical Pedodontics. 4th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders 1973.

Classification

- Functional or Non-functional
- With clasps or without clasps
- Acc. To Brauer et al
 - Class 1 Unilateral maxillary posteriors
 - Class 2- Unilateral mandibular posteriors
 - Class 3 Bilateral maxillary posteriors
 - Class 4 Bilateral mandibular posteriors
 - Class 5 Bilateral maxillary anteriors & posteriors
 - Class 6 Bilateral mandibular anteriors & posteriors
 - Class 7 one or more primary or permanent anteriors
 - Class 8 Complete primary





Acrylic partial dentures

- Multiple loss teeth
- Readily adjusted to allow eruption of teeth
- Lingual bar can be incorporated to minimize breakage of appliance

Full or complete dentures



Arathi Rao. Principles & Practice of Pedodontics. 1st ed. Jaypee publishers: NewDelhi, 2006.

Free-end space maintainers

- If one or both primary 2nd molars are lost at a short time before the eruption of 1st permanent molar
- "immediate" acrylic partial denture with acrylic distal shoe extension
- Exerts pressure without cutting into membrane
- Occluso-cervical thickness 9mm; vestibulo-lingual thickness-10mm
- "trick" the nature by simulating the cervical part of the root & distal surface of 2nd primary molar
- Verified with lead foil lining distal wall
- Extension can be removed once the tooth erupts



Elena, Tania, Dora & Myriam. Free-end space maintainers: Design, utilization & advantages. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2006; 31(1): 5-8.

Space maintenance for Primary & Permanent incisor area

Removable partial dentures

- Esthetic
- Maintains function
- Prevents abnormal speech & tongue habits
- *Indicated* in young cooperative children
- *Contraindicated* in children with high caries risk





Ray E. Stewart, Thomas K. Barber, Kenneth C. Troutman and Stephen H. Y Wei. Pediatric Dentistry: Scientific foundations and clinical practice. 1st ed. London: CV Mosby company, 1982.

Fixed appliances (Groper's appliance)

- Attach the anterior replacement teeth to 0.040" SS wire framework retained with bands or crowns on 2nd primary molar
- If primary 1st molars are present place indirect retainers (occlusal rest) to prevent flexing of wire
- Additional stabilization with Nance button





Arathi Rao. Principles & Practice of Pedodontics. 1st ed. Jaypee publishers: NewDelhi, 2006.

In case of space loss

- Partial denture-activating appliance
- Contoured steel clasps adapted to 1st permanent molar
- Adams clasps (for more retention)
- Helical finger springs to distalize the tooth
- Wire is placed cervically as possible
- Adjusted 1-1.5mm for every 3 to 4 weeks
- Neither labial bow or palatal acrylic material should interfere with teeth movement
- After regaining space new retainer with replacement of tooth can be used











Cementation

- Pumice prophylaxis
- Application of fluoride varnish or gel
- Isolation with cotton rolls
- Cementation of SS space maintainers (Croll, 1983)
 - Roughening of internal surface of band coarse diamond bur
 - Crimping gingival 3rd of band for better adaptation
 - Smoothening & finishing of gingival margin rubber wheel
 - Small strip of autoclave masking tape is placed over the occlusal surface of band
 - 3/4th of band is filled with cement
 - Positioned over tooth (first with finger pressure then with band pusher)
 - After setting of cement, remove the tape & excess cement gingivally

Bonded space maintainer

- Overcome problems of
 - Multiple visit
 - Loosening of bands
 - Decalcification of abutment tooth

Simple fixed space maintainer

- introduced by Swaine & Wright, 1976.
- Fixed space maintainer bonded to the abutment tooth
- Advantages:
 - Eliminates problem of rotation of abutment tooth
- Modification:
 - Fixed space maintainer combined with open-faced SSC





Simsek, Yucel & Taskin. Clinical evaluation of Simple fixed space maintainers bonded with flow composite resin. J Dent child 2004; 71: 163-168.

Yucel , Elcin, & Nihal. Fixed space maintainer combined with open-faced SSC. J Contemp Dent Pract 2006; 7(2): 95-103.

Yucel Yilmaz, PhD, DDS; M. Elcin Kocogullari, DDS; Nihal Belduz, DDS

Objective: This study investigates the clinical performance of fixed space maintainers placed on seriously damaged abutment teeth.

Methods: Crowns were placed on damaged abutment primary teeth. Fixed space maintainers were prepared by using rectangular wire between the window in the facial surface of the crowns and other abutment teeth and were subsequently bonded with a flowable resin composite. This procedure was introduced clinically, and the cases were observed over a period of twelve months.

Results: Twenty-seven fixed space maintainers (25 on lower jaw, two on upper jaw) were included in this study. No clinical failure was recorded in any of the cases in the observation time, and the rate of clinical performance was 100%.

Conclusion: The study shows the effectiveness of fixed space maintainers combined with stainless steel crowns ("open-face fixed space maintainers") which were placed on primary molar teeth used as abutments in cases with extensive caries and loss of occlusogingival dimension.



Figure 1. Tooth #75 exhibits extensive caries on the mesio-occlusal surfaces.



Figure 2. Tooth #75 was restored with a stainless steel crown and a window was created on the buccal surface of the crown



Figure 3. A space maintainer fixed on the abutment teeth on a plaster model.



Figure 7. Intraoral view six months after insertion of the space maintainer bonded to teeth #63 and #65.



Figure 8. View of 12 months after insertion of the space maintainer bonded to teeth #83 and #85.



Figure 4. Intraoral view immediately after insertion of the space maintainer bonded on the buccal surfaces of teeth #73 and #75.

Figure 9. View nine months after insertion of the space maintainer bonded to teeth #83 and #85.

Clinical Evaluation of Simple Fixed Space Maintainers Bonded With Flow Composite Resin Sera Simsek, PhD, DDS Yucel Yilmaz, PhD, DDS Taskin Gurbuz, PhD, DDS

The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical performance of simple fixed space maintainers bonded by using a flow composite resin (Tetric Flow) to prevent space resulting from early extracted primary teeth. For that reason, 64 fixed space maintainers (34 in the lower jaw and 30 in the upper jaw) were applied to 45 patients. The patients followed up for 12 to 18 months. Survival rate, prevention ability of that space, and whether damage to the abutment teeth occurred were evaluated. Five percent of space maintainers were determined to be unsuccessful at the end of the control period. During this period, loss of space among the abutment teeth was found to be statistically insignificant (P>.05). Finally, it was observed that the use of simple fixed space maintainers was successful due to operator experience and the choosing of favorable patient groups.



The inner-mouth appearance of a patient prior to the application.

The appearance of the prepared space maintainer on the study model.

The inner-mouth appearance of the prepared space maintainer after the application.







The intra-oral appearance of a patient at 12 months.



The intra-oral appearance of a patient at 14 months.



The intra-oral appearance of a patient at 18 months.

Glass fiber-reinforced composite resin – everStick

- Translucent colored
- Matrix contains poly methylmethacrylate
- Advantages:
 - Easy to apply & require only one visit
 - No need of impression making
 - No contact with soft tissues good oral hygiene
 - esthetic, less bulky & occupy less space in oral cavity
- Disadvantages:
 - Technique sensitive
 - Fracture at enamel-composite interface
 - Framework fracture



Priya, Babu & Sunny. Glass fiber-reinforced composite resin as a space maintainer: A clinical study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2008; S98-103.c


Subramanian, Babu & Sunny. Glass fiber-reinforced composite resin as a space maintainer: A clinical study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2008; S98-103.c



Subramanian, Babu & Sunny. Glass fiber-reinforced composite resin as a space maintainer: A clinical study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2008; S98-103.c

GFRCR superior retention compared to Band & Loop space maintainer at 12months follow up (Subramanian et al, 2008)

Survival time for GFRCR – 5 months (*Kargul et al, 2005*)

Subramanian, Babu & Sunny. Glass fiber-reinforced composite resin as a space maintainer: A clinical study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2008; S98-103.

Kargul, Caglar & Kabalay. GFRCR as fixed space maintainers in children: 12-month clinical follow-up. J Dent Child 2005; 72: 109-112.

Glass Fiber-reinforced Composite Resin as Fixed Space Maintainers in Children:12-month Clinical Follow-up Betul Kargul, PhD Esber Çaglar, DDS, PhD Ugur Kabalay, PhD

Purpose: The purpose of this clinical evaluation was to assess fixed space maintainers for child patients whose missing primary molars were replaced with space maintainers made with everStick during a 12-month follow-up period

Methods: Twenty-three clinical cases presented in this paper were evaluated in the Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey. EverStick was used as part of a space maintainer on occasions where 1 or 2 teeth were lost in either the maxillary or mandibular arch.

Results: This study showed that the glass fiber-reinforced composite resin space maintainers functioned well during a mid-term evaluation.

Success of Reinforced Fiber Material Space Maintainers Zuhal Kırzıo^{*}glu, DDS, PhD M. Semra Ozay Erturk, PhD

Recently, fiber-reinforced composites (FRC) have been introduced and used in different branches of dentistry. The objective of this study was to assess long-term results for a newly developed space maintainer as an alternative procedure for practitioners.

Methods: This study used Splint-it (Jeneric/Pentron, Wallingford, Conn), a FRC, to prepare a newly developed space maintainer chairside in 1 appointment. A total of 40 space maintainers were applied to 29 children (14 girls, 15 boys) between 7 to 14 years old (mean±SD=10 years, 1 month±1 year, 11 months) to protect the space of their early extracted first and second primary molar teeth. For 4 children, space maintainers were prepared with artificial teeth to restore the anterior teeth loss, which occurred due to trauma. To protect the space until the fixed partial dentures were constructed, 5 space maintainers were applied to 3 children who had 2 permanent first molar teeth extracted. Appliances were observed for up to 2 years.

Results: Twenty-nine (73%) space maintainers were dislodged at the end of the sixth month. The space maintainers placed on primary teeth (1 or both abutments) showed the highest failure rate (94%).

Conclusions: This study suggested that **Splint-it space** maintainers can be accepted as successful appliances only for short periods. Prolonged use of this material for space maintenance inchildren must be further evaluated.



Appearance of a Splint-it space maintainer prepared for posterior teeth on the model.



Splint-it space maintainers constructed with artifcial teeth for the patients with anterior tooth trauma

Survival time of Removable & Fixed space maintainers

- 7 months (Qudeimet & Fayle, 1998)
- 14 months (Baroni, 1994)
- 18 months (*Rajab*, 2002)

Survival time with respect to arch (*Tulunoglu et al*, 2005)

> • Space maintainers for maxilla - 7.17 months

> Space maintainers for mandible -6.69 months

Survival time of bilateral space maintainers (*Moore & Kennedy, 2006*)

> Mandibular lingual arch –
> 19.9 months

 Maxillary Nance appliance – 22.7 months

Moore & Kennedy. Bilateral space maintainers: A 7-year retrospective study from private practice. Pediatr Dent 2006; 28: 499-505.



Rajab. Clinical performance & survival of space maintainers: Evaluation over a period of 5 years. J Dent Child 2002; 69:156-60.

References

- 1. Ray E. Stewart, Thomas K. Barber, Kenneth C. Troutman and Stephen H. Y Wei. Pediatric Dentistry: Scientific foundations and clinical practice. 1st ed. London: CV Mosby company, 1982.
- 2. Sidney B. Finn. Textbook of Clinical Pedodontics. 4th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders 1973.
- 3. Richardson J. Mathewson and Robert E. Primosch. Fundamentals Of Pediatric Dentistry. 3rd Ed.. London: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc.
- 4. Jimmy R. Pinkham, Paul S. Casamassimo, Dennis J. McTigue, Henry and Arthur J. Nowak. Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy Through Adolescence. 4th ed. New Delhi: Saunders. 2005.
- 5. Ralph E. McDonald, David R. Avery & Jeffrey A. Dean. Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent. 8th ed. St. Louis: Missouri, 2006.
- 6. Minoru Nakata. Occlusal guidance in pediatric dentistry. 1st ed. Ishiyaku EuroAmerica: St.Louis: 1988.
- 7. Arathi Rao. Principles & Practice of Pedodontics. 1st ed. Jaypee publishers: NewDelhi, 2006.
- 16. Yucel, Elcin, & Nihal. Fixed space maintainer combined with open-faced SSC. J Contemp Dent Pract 2006; 7(2): 95-103.

References

- 8. Nayak, Loius, Sajeev & Peter. Band & Loop space maintainer Made easy. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2004; 22 (3):134-136.
- 9. Warren Brill. The distal shoe space maintainer:chairside fabrication and clinical performance. Pediatr Dent 2002; 24: 561-5.
- 10. Elena, Tania, Dora & Myriam. Free-end space maintainers: Design, utilization & advantages. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2006; 31(1): 5-8.
- 11. Moore & Kennedy. Bilateral space maintainers: A 7-year retrospective study from private practice. Pediatr Dent 2006; 28: 499-505.
- 12. Rajab. Clinical performance & survival of space maintainers: Evaluation over a period of 5 years. J Dent Child 2002; 69:156-60.
- 13. Subramanian, Babu & Sunny. Glass fiber-reinforced composite resin as a space maintainer: A clinical study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2008; S98-103.
- 14. Kargul, Caglar & Kabalay. GFRCR as fixed space maintainers in children: 12month clinical follow-up. J Dent Child 2005; 72: 109-112.
- 15. Simsek, Yucel & Taskin. Clinical evaluation of Simple fixed space maintainers bonded with flow composite resin. J Dent child 2004; 71: 163-168.

Modifications of lingual arch

Addition of canine "spurs" (Mershan type)



• 2 omega bends in the canine region (Konstontinos et al 1998)



• 2 inch adjustment loops (Hotz)



Maxillary lingual arch



Sleeve arrangement to allow lateral growth



• Fixed removable lingual arch



Bonded lingual SM (Lin JYK, King NM)



Fig. 1. Configuration of the 0.0071° a 0.0211° secongalar stairline staff declarities on the study care. U-large titizene by devocid some placed to facilitate relater adjacements.



Advantages No decalcification No ulcerations Fissure sealing

Disadvantages Cannot be used as regainer Only bondable to tooth enamel.

Construction

COMPONENTS

- Band
- Loop / archwire
- Solder joint
- Auxillaries

STEPS

- Band fabrication
- Impression making
- Pouring of cast
- Wire bending
- Soldering
- Polishing
- Cementation

Loop bands

- Precious metal (Johnson)
- Chrome alloy bands.



Tailored bands

BAND

Preformed Bands

A range of bands from 1-32 depending on the mesio-distal width of the tooth are available



Spool sizes :

Anterior teeth	0.003x0.125	2 inches
Bicuspids	0.004x0.150	2 inches
Molar (primary)	0.005x0.018	2 inches
Molar (permanent)	0.006x0.018	2 inches

- Characteristics of an ideal band
 - Close fit
 - Should not extend too subgingival
 - Resist deformation
 - Resist tarnish
 - No occlusal interference

• Steps in band Fabrication

- Separation
- Band fitting (pinching)
- Welding
 - In place for a week.
 - Brass wire .015 .020 inch
 - Elastic threads
 - Rubber wedges



- Band formation
 - Direct technique
 - Preformed bands
 - Indirect technique

Direct technique

- Armamentarium:
 - Regular how pliers
 - Universal pliers
 - Amalgam condensor
 - Peak pliers





- Contour band strips in an occluso-gingival direction
- Weld ends to make loop
- Pinch at cuspal area and not on groove areas
- Upper molar palatal pull
- Lower molar lingual pull
- Seam of band mesially and lingually
- Edges of seam must be parallel
- Pinch with peak pliers
- Keep joint vertical



- Festooning
 - Done proximally to follow gingival contour
 - More trimming on distal side
- Trimming
 - On buccal and lingual to adjust height
- Folded flap method
 - Folding of remnant against lingual surface
 - Performed on tooth
 - Spot weld
 - Additional reinforcement

Specifications

- Occlusal margins
 - 1mm below proximal ridge
- Gingival margin
 - 0.5 to 1 mm in gingival sulcus (Owen 1984)
 - Check for blanching
- Bucally
 - Below level of opposite cusp contact
- Lingually
 - below deepest portion of lingual developmental groove.

Electric spot welding



- INDIRECT TECHNIQUE
 - Trim depth 1.5 to 2 mm on cast
 - Follow direct method
- Impression taking
 - Alginate



- Take alginate impression
- Check that the occlusal margin of the band is clearly reproduced
- Remove band and orient in compound index
- Stabilize band with sticky wax
 - on buccal and lingual at the occlusal aspect of band

Pouring of impression
Stabilization of band





- Wire bending for loop / archwire
- Soldering
 - Silver solder
 - Flux
 - Increases flow
 - Prevents oxidation
- Finishing with green stone and polishing with rouge
- Cementation
 - Clean tooth with pumice slurry
 - Isolate
 - Mix cement as per manufacturers instructions
 - Powder-liquid ratio : 2:1
 - Mixing time = 30 secs
 - Mix I scoop power in 2 increments 15 secs apart in 1 drop liquid
 - Setting time = max 6.5 mins



Principles of Banding / Pinching

- 1. The shiny surface of the band should be towards the tissues.
- 2. Gingival extension of the band should be at marginal gingiva or 1mm below the gingival margin.
- 3. The band should be 1mm below the occlusal table.
- 4. There should be no voids and pockets when the band is adapted to the tooth.
- 5. It should not rock or tilt when banded.
- 6. Proper festooning and trimming of the margins.